

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,865	06/19/2001	Ian D. Walters	01,175	7244
24030	7590 03/26/2003			
SHUGHART THOMSON & KILROY, PC 120 WEST 12TH STREET			EXAMINER	
	ГҮ, МО 64105		JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	2
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ltG			
	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/681,865	WALTERS, IAN D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl Juska	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,11,12,15,17,19-21 and 23-27						
6) Claim(s) 3,4,6,8-10,13,14,16,18,22,28 and 29 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of I	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s nformal Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality: The recitation "What is claimed...:" should not be a part of the actual claim, but rather a heading to the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8-10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8-10, 13, 14, 16, 18, and 22 are indefinite for the use of the improper Markush group language "selected from the group consisting essential of." Proper Markush language is "selected from the group consisting of." The addition of "essentially" renders the scope of the claims unascertainable.
- 5. Claims 28 and 29 recites the limitation "said covering" in lines 4 and 3, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,865

Art Unit: 1771

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 11, 12, 15, 17, 19-21, and 23-27 are allowed. The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a tufted article having a primary backing of polymer particles bound together with a binding agent. Nor does the prior art teach a method of making said article wherein a base of bonded polymer particles is needle tufted.

7. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8-10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 28, and 29 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

cj March 23, 2003 CHERYL A JUSKA